

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

MAILED

COOLEY LLP ATTN: Patent Group Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW Washington DC 20001

SEP 2 4 2010 OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Paschini, et al.

Application No. 10/821,405

Filed: April 9, 2004

Attorney Docket No. EWIR-001/02US 300933-

2005

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed May 24, 2010 to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed non-provisional application set forth in the amendment filed concurrently with the instant petition.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is DISMISSED.

It is noted that the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(2)(i) to the prior filed non-provisional application is embedded in the "Petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(a)(3). Because the amendment is not contained on a paper separate from the petition, the amendment does not comply with 37 CFR §§ 1.4 and 1.121 that provide, in pertinent part,

## § 1.4 Nature of correspondence and signature requirements

(c) Since different matters may be considered by different branches or sections of the United States Patent and Trademark Office, each distinct subject, inquiry, or order must be contained in a separate paper to avoid confusion and delay in answering papers dealing with different subjects.

## § 1.121 Manner of making amendments in applications

(a) Amendments in applications, other than reissue applications. Amendments in applications, other than reissue applications, are made by filing a paper, in compliance with § 1.52, directing that specified amendments be made.

Based on the aforementioned, the amendment containing the required references to the prior filed applications is not acceptable and, therefore, neither the amendment nor the references to the prior-filed applications contained therein can be entered. The petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be dismissed because petitioner has failed to include the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(2)(i) to the prior filed non-provisional application.

Petitioner may file a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) along with an amendment, contained on a paper separate from the petition, requesting amendment of the priority claim to include the reference to the prior-filed non-provisional application.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Kenya A. McLaughlin, Petitions Attorney, at (571) 272-3222.

Chris Bottorff

Supervisor

Office of Petitions